

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/650,834

Applicant(s)

WREN, STEPHEN C.

Examiner

James H. Zurita

Art Unit

3625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James H. Zurita.

(3) _____

(2) Stephen Wren.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 94 and 102.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/james zurita/ 3/17/08

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

In response to BPAI return before appeal of 3/10/08, and to clarify the status of claim 102.

Mr. Wren agrees that while 102 is not included in any statement of rejection presented to the Board, the error is merely an omission of listing claim 102 akin to a word processing error.

Parallel claim 94 (and limitations of parent claim 82) has been treated on the merits and the same rejection applies to claim 102 (and limitations of parent claim 87); Similarly, Mr. Wren's response to the rejection of claim 94 applies to claim 102..